Articles

Influence of Amine Substituents on 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C Binding of Phenylalkyl- and Indolylalkylamines

Richard A. Glennon,^{*,†} Malgorzata Dukat,[†] Mohamed El-Bermawy,[†] Ho Law,[†] Joseph De Los Angeles,[†] Milt Teitler,[‡] Allison King,[‡] and Katharine Herrick-Davis[‡]

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Box 540 MCV Station, Medical College of Virginia, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia 23298-0540, and Department of Pharmacology, Albany Medical College, Albany, New York 12208

Received February 14, 1994®

The effect of 15 different amine substituents on 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C serotonin receptor binding was investigated for two series of compounds (i.e., phenylalkylamine and indolylalkylamine derivatives). In general, amine substitution decreases receptor affinity; however, N-(4-bromobenzyl) substitution results in compounds that bind at 5-HT2A receptors with high affinity ($K_i < 1 \text{ nM}$) and with >100-fold selectivity. Although parallel structural modifications in the two series result in parallel shifts in 5-HT2C binding, these same modifications alter 5-HT2A binding in a less consistent manner.

Due to their high degree of transmembrane sequence homology and similar second messenger coupling systems, 5-HT2 and 5-HT1C serotonin receptors have been recently renamed 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors to acknowledge that they belong to the same receptor subfamily.^{1,2} In addition, many ligands previously shown to bind at 5-HT2A receptors have now been found to bind with nearly comparable affinity at 5-HT2C receptors. For example, the prototypical 5-HT2A (partial) agonist DOB [i.e., 1-(4bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-aminopropane; 1] binds



with nearly equal affinity at both populations of receptors, and its radioiodinated counterpart, [125I]DOI (i.e. [¹²⁵I]-2), labels both sites.³ Indeed, there is a significant correlation (r > 0.9) between 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C affinities (K_i values) and less than 10-fold selectivity, for a series of variously aromatic-substituted phenylethylamine derivatives.⁴ All attempts to achieve selectivity for 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C sites by variation of aromatic substituents have been unsuccessful. On the other hand, the effect of terminal amine substitution has not been examined in detail. Perhaps one reason modification of the terminal amine has been neglected is because early investigations demonstrated that N,N-dimethyl and Nmonopropyl substitution of DOB (1) results in significant decreases in 5-HT2A receptor affinity.^{5,6} The N-monopropyl analog of DOB (i.e., $3; K_i > 3000 \text{ nM}$), for example, binds at 5-HT2A sites with >50-fold lower affinity than DOB itself.⁶ Although there exists about an 80% amino acid sequence homology between 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors,^{1,2} the possibility exists, given the appropriate

0022-2623/94/1837-1929\$04.50/0

terminal amine substituent, that this substituent may be able to take advantage of remote sequence differences and, hence, enhance affinity and perhaps introduce some degree of selectivity. Because a preliminary investigation showed that the N-(4-phenylbutyl) analog of DOB (i.e., 4; 5-HT2A $K_i = 360 \pm 50$ nM) binds with higher affinity than N-monopropyl DOB (3), we undertook an examination of a series of N-substituted derivatives.

A second goal of this work was to determine if parallel structural modification of phenylalkylamines and indolylalkylamines has parallel effects on receptor affinity. That is, recent attempts to model 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors have used either phenylalkylamines or indolylalkylamines (or both) to explain or account for likely binding features of the models.⁷⁻¹⁰ At this time, however, there is relatively little evidence that these two classes of agents bind at 5-HT2 receptors in a common manner (i.e., utilize common binding sites). Indeed, the only compelling reason to think that a common mode of binding is likely is because ergolines, which possess both types of structural components within their rigid framework, bind with high affinity at both populations of sites.¹¹ If, however, these two classes of agents share a common mode of binding, it should be possible to demonstrate comparable effects on receptor affinity by making identical structure changes in both series of agents.

Thus, the goal of the present investigation was 2-fold: (i) to determine if structural modification of the terminal amine substituents of DOB-related phenylalkylamines can influence receptor affinity and 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C selectivity and (ii) to determine if the same terminal amine substituents have a parallel effect on a second series of agents, namely indolylalkylamines.

Chemistry

Compounds needed for this study are shown in Table 1. For the most part, the new compounds were prepared by functionalizing the terminal amines of 7 or 5-methoxytryptamine (23). Several different methods were employed. Most of the N-benzyl derivatives were prepared by acylation of the precursor amine with the appropriately

© 1994 American Chemical Society

Virginia Commonwealth University.

[‡] Albany Medical College.

Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, May 15, 1994.

Table 1. Physicochemical Properties of Novel Phenylalkylamine and Indolylalkylamines



				7 – 22	23 - 38		
	R	R'	% yield	mp, °C	reaction solv ^a	Mb	formula ^c
8	Н	Me	10	174.5-176	EtOH/Et ₂ O	Aď	C ₁₁ H ₁₆ BrNO ₂ ·HCl
9	Me	Me	64	186-188	2-PrOH	В	C12H18BrNO2-HCl
10	н	Et	60	224-225	2-PrOH	С	C12H18BrNO2-HCl
11	н	(CH ₂) ₃ Ph	17	130-132	EtOH/Et ₂ O	D	C ₂₀ H ₂₆ BrNO ₂ ·HCl
13	н	CH_2Ph	50	202-204	EtOH/Et ₂ O	D	C17H20BrNO2-HCl
14		-(CH ₂) ₅ -	18	225-227	EtOH/Et ₂ O	\mathbf{E}	C ₁₅ H ₂₂ BrNO ₂ ·HCl
15	Н	$CH_2Ph(4-F)$	75	218-220	EtOH/H ₂ O	С	C ₁₇ H ₁₉ BrFNO ₂ ·C ₂ H ₂ O ₄
16	Н	$CH_2Ph(4-Cl)$	77	212-214	EtOH/H ₂ O	С	$C_{17}H_{19}BrClNO_{2}C_{2}H_{2}O_{4}O.75H_{2}O$
17	н	CH ₂ Ph(4-Br)	39	166-168	EtOH/Et ₂ O	С	C ₁₇ H ₁₉ Br ₂ NO ₂ ·C ₄ H ₄ O ₄ ^e ·0.75H ₂ O
18	н	$CH_2Ph(4-I)$	7 9	233-235	EtOH/H ₂ O	С	C ₁₇ H ₁₉ BrINO ₂ ·C ₂ H ₂ O ₄
19	н	CH ₂ Ph(4-Me)	71	224-225	EtOH/H ₂ O	С	$C_{18}H_{22}BrNO_2 C_2H_2O_4 H_2O$
20	Н	CH ₂ Ph(4-NH ₂)	55	164-166	EtOH	С	$C_{17}H_{21}BrN_2O_2C_2H_2O_4O.5H_2O_7$
21	н	$CH_2Ph(4-NO_2)$	66	204-206	EtOH/H ₂ O	С	C ₁₇ H ₁₉ BrN ₂ O ₄ ·C ₂ H ₂ O ₄
22	н	CH ₂ Ph(4-OMe)	59	220-222	EtOH	С	C ₁₈ H ₂₂ BrNO ₃ ·C ₂ H ₂ O ₄
27	н	(CH ₂) ₃ Ph	68	149–151	Et_2O	A۶	$C_{20}H_{24}N_2O \cdot C_2H_2O_4$
28	Н	(CH ₂) ₄ Ph	13	122-124	MeCN	F	$C_{21}H_{26}N_2O$ ·HCl
30		-(CH ₂) ₅	30	138-140	EtOH	F	C ₁₅ H ₂₂ N ₂ O•C ₂ H ₂ O ₄ •H ₂ O ^h
31	н	$CH_2Ph(4-F)$	66	210-212	Et_2O^i	\mathbf{A}^{j}	$C_{18}H_{19}FN_2O \cdot C_2H_2O_4$
32	н	$CH_2Ph(4-Cl)$	43	203-205	Et_2O^i	A ^k	$C_{18}H_{19}ClN_2O \cdot C_2H_2O_4$
33	н	CH ₂ Ph(4-Br)	67	208-210	Et ₂ O ⁱ	\mathbf{A}^{l}	C ₁₈ H ₁₉ BrN ₂ O-C ₂ H ₂ O ₄
34	Н	CH ₂ Ph(4-I)	63	217-218	Et_2O^i	A^m	$C_{18}H_{19}IN_2O \cdot C_2H_2O_4$
35	н	CH ₂ Ph(4-Me)	42	158–159	2-PrOh	G	C ₁₉ H ₂₂ N ₂ O·HCl
36	н	CH ₂ Ph(4-NH ₂)	33	182-185	MeOH/Et ₂ O	н	$C_{18}H_{21}N_3O \cdot 1.75C_2H_2O_4$
37	Н	$CH_2Ph(4-NO_2)$	41	230-232	MeOH	С	C ₁₈ H ₁₉ N ₃ O ₃ ·HCl
38	Н	CH ₂ Ph(4-OMe)	40	183-184	95% EtOH	G	C ₁₉ H ₂₂ N ₂ O ₂ ·HCl

^a Recrystalization solvent; Et₂O refers to anhydrous Et₂O and, unless otherwise noted, EtOH is absolute. ^b Method of preparation; see Experimental Section. ^c All new compounds analyzed correctly ($\pm 0.4\%$) for C, H, N, except where noted. ^d Intermediate amide: mp 78-82 °C (98% from Et₂O). ^e Fumarate salt. ^f C: calcd, 49.15; found, 49.63, N: calcd, 6.03; found, 5.61%. ^g Intermediate amide: colorless oil (83%). ^h N: calcd, 7.65; found, 8.08%. ⁱ Salt washed with anhydrous Et₂O. ^j Intermediate amide: mp 86-87 °C (78% from Et₂O). ^k Intermediate amide: mp 108-109 °C (58% from Et₂O/EtOH). ^l Intermediate amide: mp 113-115 °C (74% from Et₂O). ^m Intermediate amide: mp 159-164 °C (52% from Et₂O).

substituted benzoyl halide followed by reduction of the resulting amide with LiAlH₄ (method G; Table 1), diborane (method C), or alane (method A). Compounds 11-13 were prepared by acylation of the amines with an acid in the presence of NEt₃ and ethyl chloroformate, followed by reduction of the amide with diborane (method D). The N-(4-aminobenzyl) tryptamine 36 was obtained by catalytic reduction of the corresponding nitro derivative 37 (method H). Direct bromination of 2-(2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1aminoethane provided 7, which was used in many of the above reactions; Eschweiler-Clarke alkylation of 7 afforded 9 (method B). Compounds 28 and 30 were prepared by the Speeter-Anthony tryptamine synthesis (method F), and the piperidine derivative 14 was obtained by alkylation of piperidine with 2-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1ethanol tosylate (method E). Compounds 23-26 and 29 were available from previous studies in our laboratories.



Results and Discussion

5-HT2A receptors in GF6 cells transfected with the human 5-HT2A gene were labeled with $[^{3}H]$ ketanserin (identified as 5-HT2A(K) in Tables 2 and 3) or $[^{125}I]$ DOI (identified as 5-HT2A(D) in Tables 2 and 3), and 5-HT2C

receptors in J1 cells transfected with the rat 5-HT2C gene were labeled with [³H]mesulergine.

Phenylalkylamine Analogues. 5-HT2A Binding. The aromatic bromo group of DOB (1) makes a significant contribution to binding, and its replacement by other substituents can modulate 5-HT2A affinity over a >1000fold range.¹² Evidently, terminal amine substituents also influence affinity. Initially, we began by comparing the 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C affinities of DOB (1) (41 and 70 nM. respectively) with those of its N-monomethyl ($K_i = 80 \pm$ 10 and 100 \pm 10 nM, respectively; 5) and N-benzyl derivative 6 (10 \pm 2 and 440 \pm 65 nM, respectively). Interestingly, 6 binds at [3H]ketanserin-labeled 5-HT2A sites with an affinity comparable to that of DOB (1) and with an affinity greater than that of N-monomethyl DOB (5), suggesting that the benzyl phenyl group makes a positive contribution to binding. Furthermore, whereas DOB displayed only 2-fold selectively, 6 showed 44-fold selectivity for 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C sites. This selectivity ratio was higher than any we had previously noted for phenylalkylamine derivatives; thus, we were encouraged to continue this investigation.

Because the presence of the α -methyl group of DOB (1) makes little to no contribution to 5-HT2A or 5-HT2C binding,⁴ the project was continued using analogs of α -desmethyl DOB (7) in order to avoid evaluation of pairs of optical isomers. Furthermore, because the use of [¹²⁵I]-DOI gives comparable results regardless of whether the ligands are agonists or antagonists (that is, [¹²⁵I]DOI labels the agonist high-affinity state of 5-HT2A receptors whereas

Table 2. 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C Binding Data for thePhenylalkylamine Derivatives

	receptor	affinity, <i>K</i> i, nN	receptor selectivity ^c vs		
	5-HT2C	5-HT2A(K) ^a	5-HT2A(D) ^b	5-HT2A(K)	5-HT2C
76	36	34	1.0	34	36
8	$100(\pm 23)$	380(±140)	2.9(±0.3)	130	34
9	480(±100)	420(±90)	16(±1)	26	30
10	$1,930(\pm 210)$	$330(\pm 20)$	40(±8)	8	48
11	$310(\pm 2)$	84(±1)	23(±6)	4	13
12	695(±60)	$100(\pm 16)$	27(±4)	4	26
13	90(±5)	16(±8)	$0.3(\pm 0.06)$	53	300
14	$2530(\pm 220)$	895(±130)	154(±10)	6	16
15	$360(\pm 15)$	30(±5)	$3.4(\pm 1.3)$	7	80
16	$715(\pm 25)$	$110(\pm 20)$	15(±2)	7	48
17	48(±3)	$1.6(\pm 0.3)$	$0.4(\pm 0.1)$	4	120
18	$165(\pm 40)$	$22(\pm 1)$	$0.4(\pm 0.2)$	55	410
19	$225(\pm 15)$	23(±7)	14(±2)	2	16
20	$1020(\pm 125)$	50(±12)	$16(\pm 7)$	3	64
21	1220(±125)	290(±60)	58(±18)	5	21
22	215(±15)	16(±1)	13(±7)	1	17

^a [³H]Ketanserin-labeled 5-HT2A receptors. ^b [¹²⁵I]DOI-labeled 5-HT2A receptors. ^c Receptor selectivity for binding at [¹²⁵I]DOI-labeled receptors versus [³H]ketanserin-labeled receptors (i.e., 5-HT2A(K) K_i + 5-HT2A(D) K_i) or versus 5-HT2C receptors (i.e., 5-HT2C K_i + 5-HT2A(D) K_i). ^d K_i values were previously reported.¹⁴

[³H]ketanserin labels both the high- and low-affinity state), and whereas agonists bind with lower affinity at [3H]ketanserin-labeled sites than at [125I]DOI-labeled sites, it has been suggested that use of [125I]DOI gives more reliable structure-affinity data when the intrinsic activity of the ligands is unknown.¹³ Thus, we continued by examining additional N-substituted α -desmethyl DOB analogs at 5-HT2C, [3H]ketanserin-labeled 5-HT2A, and [125I]DOIlabeled 5-HT2A sites. Table 2 provides binding data for a series of α -desmethyl DOB analogs; K_i values spanned a broad range. Examining affinities for [125I]DOI-labeled 5-HT2A sites makes it is apparent that N-monomethylation (8; $K_i = 2.9$ nM), N,N-dimethylation (9; $K_i = 16$ nM), and N-monoethylation (10; $K_i = 40$ nM) result in decreased affinity. The N-(3-phenylpropyl) and N-(4phenylbutyl) derivatives 11 and 12 ($K_i = 23$ and 27 nM, respectively) also bind with reduced affinity. Elaboration of the dimethyl groups of 9 into a piperidine ring (i.e., 14 $K_i = 154$ nM) further reduces affinity by 1 order of magnitude. However, as was noted above for DOB (1) and its N-benzyl derivative, the N-benzyl analog 13 ($K_i =$ $0.3 \,\mathrm{nM}$) binds with several times the affinity of α -desmethyl DOB (7) and with 10 times the affinity of the Nmonomethyl derivative 8. Comparing the affinities of eight para-substituted N-benzyl derivatives shows that most substituents reduce affinity by 10-100-fold relative to 13. The 4-bromo and 4-iodo derivatives 17 and 18, however. retain the affinity of 13. It is rather interesting to note that although the bromo and iodo derivatives 17 and 18 bind with comparable affinity, an affinity similar to that of 13, the 4-chloro derivative 16 binds with nearly 50-fold lower affinity, an even lower affinity than with the 4-fluoro analog 15. A second sample of 16 was prepared and submitted for binding, and the new K_i value was similar to the original value.

5-HT2C Binding. α -Desmethyl DOB (7)¹⁴ displays 36fold selectivity for 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C sites but is, nonetheless, the highest-affinity phenylethylamine in this study. N-Alkylation reduces the affinity of 7 by at least severalfold. However, unlike what was observed for 5-HT2A binding, N-benzylation reduces, rather than increases, affinity by about 3-fold. Consequently, the benzyl derivative 13 displays 300-fold selectivity for 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C sites.

	receptor af	receptor selectivity ^c vs			
	5-HT2C	5-HT2A(K)ª	5-HT2A(D)6	5-HT2A(K)	5-HT2C
23	500(±50)	300(±20)	4.8(±1)	63	104
24	840(±150)	450(±20)	$4.1(\pm 0.9)$	110	205
25	960(±180)	620(±40)	15(±2)	41	65
26	2675(±470)	930(±145)	$7.2(\pm 0.3)$	130	510
27	$165(\pm 13)$	50(±4)	10(±4)	5	16
28	1500(±35)	$22(\pm 1)$	$13(\pm 3)$	2	115
29	370(±40)	55(±5)	5.3(±0.7)	10	70
30	>10000	160(±35)	230(±30)	1	>40
31	3530(±1160)	480(±20)	40(±15)	10	88
32	4390(±330)	565(±75)	105(±10)	5	42
33	100(±10)	5.2(±0.6)	$0.1(\pm 0.01)$	52	1000
34	1770(±20)	400(±25)	120(±30)	3	15
35	1225(±30)	$110(\pm 20)$	30(±6)	4	40
36	6700(±220)	475(±95)	105(±35)	5	64
37	9970(±3000)	4260(±50)	140(±6)	30	70
38	1180(±25)	100(±15)	16(±2)	6	74

^a [³H]Ketanserinhlabeled 5-HT2A receptors. ^b [¹²⁵I]DOI-labeled 5-HT2A receptors. ^c Receptor selectivity for binding at [¹²⁵I]DOIlabeled receptors versus [³H]ketanserin labeled receptors (i.e., 5-HT2A(K) K_i + 5-HT2A(D) K_i) or versus 5-HT2C receptors (i.e., 5-HT2C K_i + 5-HT2A(D) K_i).

Examination of the para-substituted benzyl derivatives shows that with one exception they all bind at 5-HT2C sites with lower affinity than the parent unsubstituted 13. The 4-bromo derivative 17 binds with about twice the affinity of 13. Nevertheless, the bromo- and iodobenzyl derivatives 17 and 18 still retain significant selectivity (120and 410-fold, respectively) for 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C sites.

[³H]Ketanserin-Labeled 5-HT2A Binding. At this time, it is not known if 7-22 are 5-HT2A agonists, partial agonists, or antagonists. In the absence of such information, use of [^{125}I]DOI as radioligand should provide the most reliable 5-HT2A binding data. Nevertheless, even with the use of [^{3}H]ketanserin as radioligand it is evident that the N-benzyl derivative 13 and the p-bromobenzyl and p-iodobenzyl derivatives 17 and 18, respectively, bind at 5-HT2A sites with significant affinity.

It has been suggested that a ratio of $[{}^{3}H]$ ketanserin versus $[{}^{125}I]$ DOI K_i values provides a rough approximation of agonist versus antagonist activity.¹³ That is, compounds that bind with comparable affinity at $[{}^{3}H]$ ketanserinlabeled and $[{}^{125}I]$ DOI-labeled 5-HT2A sites have been demonstrated to be 5-HT2A antagonists, whereas agonists generally display 50- to > 100-fold higher affinity for $[{}^{125}I]$ -DOI-labeled versus $[{}^{3}H]$ ketanserin-labeled 5-HT2A sites. The benzyl derivative 13 binds with 53-fold higher affinity and the *p*-iodobenzyl derivative 18 with 55-fold higher affinity, at $[{}^{125}I]$ DOI-labeled relative to $[{}^{3}H]$ ketanserinlabeled sites (Table 2). Interestingly the *p*-bromobenzyl derivative 17 displays only a 4-fold selectivity. The functional activity of some of these agents will need to be examined in the future.

Indolylalkylamine Analogues. 5-HT2A Binding. The same general trends described above for the phenylalkylamines were also noted with the indolylalkylamines (Table 3). That is, with respect to binding at $[^{125}I]DOI$ labeled 5-HT2A sites, small N-alkyl groups are tolerated but do not result in enhanced affinity, elaboration of the N,N-dimethyl groups of 25 to the piperidine derivative 30 results in decreased affinity, and the N-benzyl derivative 29 retains the affinity of the primary amine 23. Most of the substituted N-benzyl derivatives (i.e. 31, 32, 34–38), as was the case in the phenylalkylamine series, bind with lower affinity than the aromatic-unsubstituted parent N-benzyl derivative 29. Again, however, the N-(4-bromobenzyl) derivative (i.e., 33) binds with high affinity (33; $K_i = 0.1$ nM, Table 3). Interestingly, however, the corresponding iodo derivative 34 does not share the high affinity of the bromo compound 33, as was the case with the phenylalkylamines 17 and 18, but binds with an affinity similar to that of the chloro analogue 32. These results may represent subtle differences in the manner in which these agents interact at 5-HT2A receptors.

5-HT2C Binding. Again, parallel trends are noted for the indolylakylamines versus the phenylalkylamines. Furthermore, as was the case in the phenylalkylamine series, the N-(4-bromobenzyl) derivative 33 is one of the few agents that retains the 5-HT2C affinity of the parent primary amine 23. Nevertheless, 33 displays significant (1000-fold) selectivity for 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C receptors. In contrast, the iodo derivative 34 (15-fold selectivity) is not nearly as 5-HT2A-selective as its phenylakylamine counterpart 18 (410-fold selectivity).

[³H]Ketanserin-Labeled 5-HT2A Binding. Even with the use of [³H]ketanserin as radioligand, it is still apparent that the bromo derivative 33 binds with high affinity ($K_i = 5.2$ nM) at 5-HT2A sites. Consistent with the results obtained using [¹²⁵I]DOI as radioligand, the iodo derivative 34 binds with low affinity ($K_i = 400$ nM). Furthermore, whereas the binding data in Table 2 suggest that the iodo phenylalkylamine 18 may behave as an agonist and the bromo phenylalkylamine 17 as an antagonist, the situation is reversed in the indolylalkylamine series. That is, with a 52-fold difference, the bromo indolylalkylamine 33 is suggested to possess agonist character, whereas the iodo derivative 34, with only a 3-fold difference, may be an antagonist.

Binding Similarities. Even though certain agents display selectivity for 5-HT2A receptors, there still exists a significant overall correlation (r = 0.863, n = 15) between the affinities $(pK_i \text{ values})$ of the indolylalkylamine derivatives $23-28^{15}$ at $[^{125}I]$ DOI-labeled 5-HT2A receptors and 5-HT2C receptors. A similar correlation (r = 0.857, n = 16) exists for the phenylalkylamines 7-22. These results support previous contentions that the binding of 5-HT2A ligands generally parallels their 5-HT2C affinities.

Do parallel amine alterations have similar consequences for the two series of compounds? With regard to 5-HT2A binding, this does not seem to be the case (r = 0.536, n =16). Inspection of Figure 1 reveals that parallel trends may exist but that some compounds, notably some of the substituted N-benzyl derivatives of the phenylalkylamine series (e.g. 15, 16, 18, 20), bind at 5-HT2A receptors with higher affinity than their indolylalkylamine counterparts. Thus, although the overall correlation is rather poor, it cannot be reliably argued that binding similarities are altogether absent.

With regard to 5-HT2C binding, parallel structural changes apparently result in parallel shifts in affinity as reflected by the correlation (r = 0.850) that exits between the affinities of the 15 pairs of compounds.

Conclusions

We have previously reported that variously arylsubstituted phenylalkylamines bind with <10-fold selectivity for 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C receptors when [³H]ketanserin is used as the radioligand to label 5-HT2A sites.⁴ This is true, in general, for the compounds in the present



Figure 1. Relationship between [125 I]DOI-labeled 5-HT2A receptor affinities (p K_i values) for N-substituted phenylalkylamines and their corresponding indolylalkylamines.

investigation as well. However, a more realistic picture emerges when [¹²⁵I]DOI is used as radioligand. The phenylalkylamines 7-22 bind with 13-410-fold selectivity for 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C receptors. Likewise, in the indolylalkylamine series 23-38, 5-HT2A selectivity ranges from 15- to 1000-fold (Tables 2 and 3). None of the agents display selectivity for 5-HT2C receptors.

In general, amine substitution is not beneficial for 5-HT2A binding. However, exceptions exist. In both series of compounds, N-benzyl substitution is tolerated; in addition, N-(4-bromobenzyl) substitution results in compounds (i.e., 17 and 33) that bind at 5-HT2A receptors with K_i values of <1 nM. Furthermore, these compounds bind with >100-fold selectivity for 5-HT2A versus 5-HT2C receptors.

With respect to 5-HT2C binding, parallel structural changes on the terminal amine result in parallel changes in affinity, suggesting similar modes of binding for the two series of compounds. Results with 5-HT2A binding are less clear. Although there is a poor correlation between all K_i values for the two series, Figure 1 suggests a tendency for parallel structural modification to result in parallel shifts in affinity for many of the compounds examined. Several compounds tend to decrease the significance of this correlation (i.e. appear to be outliers). These include the halo-substituted benzyl derivatives. It would seem that there are some subtle differences in the manner in which the halo derivatives bind at 5-HT2A receptors. These differences are not only noted between the two series, but even within a series. For example, the bromo and iodo derivatives 17 and 18 bind with significantly higher affinity than the chloro derivative 16. In the indolylalkylamine series, the bromo derivative 33 again binds with higher affinity than the chloro derivative 32; however, in this series, the chloro and iodo derivatives (32 and 34, respectively) bind with comparable affinity. From a binding perspective, the bromobenzyl substituent may constitute an optimal binding feature which can be mimicked in the phenylalkylamine series, but not in the indolylalkylamine series, by the corresponding iodobenzyl substituent. In light of the present results, more detailed investigations of benzyl-substituted derivatives, including functional assays, are warranted.

Experimental Section

Synthesis. Melting points, determined with a Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus, are uncorrected. Proton magnetic resonance spectra were obtained with a JEOL FX90Q spectrometer and GE QE-300 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 5ZDX FT-IR. Elemental analysis was performed by Atlantic Microlab, and determined values are within 0.4% of the theoretical values. Flash chromatography was performed on silicagel (Merck, grade 60, 230–400 mesh, 60 Å) using 95:5 mixture of methylene chloride (CH₂Cl₂) and (MeOH) as the eluent. Experimentals below illustrate the methods (methods A-H) referred to in Table 1. Compounds 26¹⁷ and 29¹⁸ were previously synthesized in our laboratories according to reported methods.

3-[2-(N-(4-Bromobenzyl)amino)ethyl)]-5-methoxyindole Oxalate (33). Method A. A solution of 4-bromobenzoyl chloride (0.57 g, 2.6 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) was added in a dropwise manner to a stirred solution of 5-methoxytryptamine (0.5 g, 2.6 mmol) and Et₃N (0.52 g, 5.2 mmol) in THF (15 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight; the solid material was removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated to drvness under reduced pressure. A CHCl₃ solution of the oily residue was washed successively with 5% HCl (15 mL) and 5% Na₂CO₃ (100 mL) solutions and H₂O (50 mL). The CHCl₃ portion was dried (MgSO₄) and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford 0.73 g (74%) of the amide intermediate as a white solid after recrystallization from Et₂O: mp 113-115 °C. AlH₃ was prepared by the careful addition of AlCl₃ (0.23 g, 1.7 mmol) to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (0.22 g, 5.8 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (15 mL) at 0 °C under a N2 atmosphere. The suspension was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, then a solution of the above amide (0.37 g, 1 mmol) in Et₂O (10 mL) was added in a dropwise manner. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 5 h at room temperature. Excess AlH₃ was decomposed by the addition of crushed ice (1 g) and 20% NaOH solution (2 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was filtered and the organic portion of the filtrate was washed with H_2O (3 × 15 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The Et₂O solution of amine was treated with an Et₂O solution of oxalic acid to afford the crude salt; recrystallization from absolute EtOH/ anhydrous Et₂O gave 0.29 g (67%) of 33 as white crystals: mp 208-210 °C. Anal. (C18H19BrN2O·C2H2O4) C, H, N. See Table 1.

N,N-Dimethyl-2-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-aminoethane Hydrochloride (9). Method B. To stirred solution of 2.5-dimethoxyphenethylamine (1.0g, 5.5 mmol) in glacial HOAc (4 mL) was added a solution of bromine (1.1 g) in HOAc (3 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with anhydrous Et_2O (3 × 10 mL) to afford 1.4 g of the product (HBr salt) as a white crystalline solid: mp 198-201 °C. A portion of the hydrobromide salt was converted to the free base; a stirred mixture of 2-(4--bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-aminoethane (0.075 g, 0.28 mmol), 97% formic acid (0.35 g), and 37% formaldehyde (0.5 mL) was heated at 80-95 °C for 24 h. The solution was then concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The free base was isolated by the addition of 15% NaOH (0.5 mL) and extraction with $Et_2O(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic fractions were dried (MgSO4), and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. A solution of the free base in anhydrous Et₂O was treated with a saturated solution of HCl gas in Et₂O to give a white solid hydrochloride salt. Recrystallization from 2-PrOH gave 0.06 g (64%) of 9 as white crystals: mp 186-188 °C. Anal. (C₁₂H₁₈BrNO₂-HCl) C, H, N.

N-Ethyl-2-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-aminoethane Hydrochloride (10). Method C. Acetyl chloride (0.09 g, 1.15 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 2-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-aminoethane (0.3 g, 1.15 mmol) and NEt₃ (0.12 g, 1.15 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) at 0 °C under a N₂ atmosphere. The solution was allowed to stir at 0 °C over a 1-h period and then stirring was continued at room temperature for 4h. The mixture was filtered and the solid material was washed with THF (30 mL). The combined filtrate and washings were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the oily residue was dissolved in Et₂O (60 mL), washed successively with 10% HCl (1 mL), 10% NaHCO₃ (1 mL), and H₂O (1 mL), and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford the desired amide (0.29 g, 84%) as a white solid: mp 95-97 °C. A solution of the amide (0.29 g, 0.96 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL) was slowly added to a cooled (ice bath) solution (1 M) of borane (BH₃) in THF (3 mL) under a N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was heated at reflux for 18 h and cooled on an ice bath, and excess BH₃ was decomposed by careful addition of 6 N HCl (10 mL). The THF was removed by distillation at reduced pressure. The resulting acidic solution was carefully saturated with NaOH pellets. The basic solution was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 30 mL). The combined Et₂O extract was washed with H₂O (10 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated under reduced pressure to give a clear oil. The free base was converted to its hydrochloride salt. Recrystallization of the crude salt from 2-PrOH gave 0.19 g (60%) of 10 as white crystals: mp 224-225 °C. Anal. (C₁₂H₁₈BrNO₂·HCl) C, H, N.

N-Benzyl-2-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-aminoethane Hydrochloride (13). Method D. A solution of benzoic acid (0.77 g, 6.3 mmol), Et₃N (2.77 mL, 18.9 mmol), and ethyl chloroformate (0.6 mL, 6.3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 0.5 h. 2-(4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-1aminoethane (2.0 g, 6.3 mmol) was added and the mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 2 h at room temperature. The mixture was washed with H₂O (20 mL), 0.05 N HCl (10 mL), and H₂O (10 mL), and dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give 2.1 g (92%) of the desired amide: mp 114-117 °C. The compound was promptly used in the next step without further characterization. A solution of the amide (0.20 g, 0.56 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL) was slowly added to a solution (1 M) of BH₃ in THF (5.8 mL, 5.8 mmol) at 0 °C under a N2 atmosphere. The mixture was heated at reflux for 18 h. and then cooled in an ice bath, and excess BH₃ was decomposed by careful addition of 6 N HCl (12 mL). The THF was removed by distillation at atmospheric pressure. The resulting acidic solution was carefully saturated with NaOH pellets. The basic solution was extracted with Et₂O (3×25 mL). The Et₂O extract was washed with $H_2O(10 \text{ mL})$, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated under reduced pressure to give a clear oil. The free base was converted to the hydrochloride salt. Recrystallization of the crude salt from absolute EtOH and anhydrous Et_2O gave 0.11 g (50%) of the title compound as colorless needles: mp 202-204 °C. Anal. (C137H20BrNO2·HCl) C, H, N.

N-[2-(4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]piperidine Hydrochloride (14). Method E. A solution of 2,5-dimethoxyphenylacetic acid (2.0 g, 10.2 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (20 mL) was added in a dropwise manner to a slurry of LiAlH4 in anhydrous Et₂O (100 mL) at $\bar{0}$ °C under a N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 14 h under a N₂ atmosphere. Excess reducing agent was destroyed by careful addition of H₂O (2 mL), 10% NaOH (2 mL), and H₂O (6 mL) at 0 °C. The precipitate was removed by filtration and washed with Et₂O (20 mL). The filtrate was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated under reduced pressure to give 2-(2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethanol as a clear oil. Distillation at high vacuum gave 1.5g (77%) of the product as a clear oil: bp 73-75 °C, 0.08 mmHg (lit.¹⁶ bp 150-153 °C, 8 mmHg); IR (neat) 3386 cm⁻¹ (OH), 1500 (C=C, Ar), 1223 (C-O), 1047 (C-O). The compound was used in the next step without further characterization.

p-Toluenesulfonyl chloride (0.24 g, 2 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-(2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethanol (0.52 g, 2.6 mmol) in pyridine (1 mL) at 0 °C. The resulting solution was kept in the freezer overnight. Ice-cold H₂O (25 mL) was added and the cloudy mixture was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 25 mL). The combined Et₂O extracts were washed with 0.1 N HCl (25 mL) and H₂O (25 mL). After drying with MgSO₄, the solution was evaporated under reduced pressure to yield 0.88 g (97%) of the ptoluenesulfonate ester as a thick pale-yellow oil. The compound was promptly used in the next step without further characterization. Piperidine (0.15 mL, 1.5 mmol) was added to a solution of the ester (0.26 g, 0.74 mmol) in CH₃CN at 0 °C. The mixture was headed at reflux for 5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with Et_2O (20 mL) followed by H_2O (20 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous fraction was extracted with Et₂O (2×25 mL). The Et₂O fractions were combined, washed with H₂O (20 mL), and dried (MgSO₄). Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure gave 0.16 g (84%) of crude 1-[2-(2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl]piperidine as a light brown oil: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 6.7 (bs, 3H, ArH), 3.7 (s, 6H, OCH₃), 3.8 (m, 2H, ArCH₂), 2.5 (m, 6H, N-CH₂), 1.5 (m, 6H,

CH₂). A solution of bromine (0.94 mL, 1.8 mmol) in glacial HOAc (3 mL) was slowly added to a solution of the oil (0.15 g, 0.6 mmol) in glacial HOAc (9 mL) at 0 °C. After the addition, the mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the oily residue was dissolved in H₂O (25 mL). The aqueous solution was made basic with 10% NaOH and extracted with Et₂O (3 × 25 mL), and the combined Et₂O extract was dried and evaporated in vacuo to give the free base as an oil. The free base was converted to the HCl salt and recrystallized from absolute EtOH and anhydrous Et₂O to give 0.04 g (18%) of the title compound as a white amorphous solid: mp 225–227 °C. Anal. (C₁₅H₂₂BrNO₂·HCl) C, H, N.

5-Methoxy-3-(2-piperidinoethyl)indole Oxalate (30). Method F. Oxalyl chloride (2 mL, 23.7 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (25 mL) was added in a dropwise manner to a solution of 5-methoxyindole (2.3 g, 16.7 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (45 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 30 min. The suspension was diluted with petroleum ether (100 mL). The orange solid was collected by filtration and air-dried to provide 3.0 g (75%) of 5-methoxy-3-indoleglyoxyloyl chloride which was used without further purification. The glyoxyloyl chloride (300 mg, 1.26 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (25 mL) was mixed with a solution of piperidine (536 mg, 6.3 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O and the mixture was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 2 h. The solid was collected by filtration and recrystallized from absolute EtOH to provide 310 mg (86%) of 1-[(5-methoxyindolyl-3)glyoxyloyl]piperidine: mp 180-182 °C. The amide (200 mg, 0.7 mmol) in THF (25 mL) was treated with LiAlH₄ (50 mg, 1.32 mmol) under a N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was allowed to stir at 0 ° C for 1 h and then at room temperature for 18 h. The hydride was decomposed at ice-bath temperature by the cautious addition of H₂O (3 mL), 10% NaOH (3 mL), and H₂O (3 mL). The entire mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The product was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 20) mL) and the combined ethereal portions were dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in anhydrous Et₂O (5 mL) and treated with ethereal solution of oxalic acid. The salt was recrystallized from absolute EtOH to afford 73 mg (30%) of 30: mp 138-140 °C. Anal. (C15H22N2O+C2H2O4+H2O) C, H, N.

3-[2-(N-(4-Methylbenzyl)amino)ethyl]-5-methoxyindole Hydrochloride (35). Method G. p-Toluoyl chloride (0.16 g, 1.05 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added in a dropwise manner to a stirred solution of 5-methoxytryptamine (0.2 g, 1.05 mmol) and Et₃N (0.11 g, 1.05 mmol) in dry THF and allowed to stir at room temperature for 6 h. The mixture was filtered and the solid material was washed with THF (20 mL). The combined filtrate and washings were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the oily residue was dissolved in Et₂O (50 mL), washed successively with 10% HCl (1 mL), 10% NaHCO₃ (1 mL), and H₂O (1 mL), and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a clear oil of the amide intermediate in 70% yield (0.23 g). A solution of the amide (0.23 g, 0.74 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added in dropwise fashion to a stirred suspension of LiAlH₄ (0.07 g, 1.83 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) under a N₂ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 18 h and cooled at 0 °C on an ice bath, and H₂O (0.5 mL) was added. The insoluble salts were removed by filtration and washed with Et_2O (3 × 15 mL). The organic portions were combined and dried (MgSO₄), and solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a crude oil (0.2 g). The free base of the title compound was isolated by flash chromatography using silica gel (eluted, 95:5, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH). An anhydrous Et₂O solution of the free base was treated with HCl gas to afford the crude salt; recrystallization from 2-PrOH gave 0.1 g (42%) of 35 as white crystals: mp 158-159 °C. Anal. (C19H22N2O·HCl) C, H, N.

3-[2-(N-(4-Aminobenzyl)amino)ethyl)]-5-methoxyindole Oxalate (36). Method H. 10% Pd/C (0.05g) was added to a solution of 3-[2-(N-(4-nitrobenzoyl)amino)ethyl]-5-methoxyindole (intermediate amide in the synthesis of 37) and (0.25 g, 0.74 mmol) in absolute EtOH (50 mL). The mixture was hydrogenated at 45 psi for 4 h and filtered over a Celite pad, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the desired amide (0.21 g, 93%) as a while solid: mp 173-174 °C. A solution of AlH₃ in anhydrous Et₂O was prepared by addition of LiAlH₄ (0.14 g, 3.75 mmol) to a stirred solution of AlCl₈ (0.15 g. 1.1 mmol) in anhydrous Et₂O (20 mL) at 0 °C under a N₂ atmosphere. The suspension was stirred 30 min at room temperature, then a solution of the above amide (0.2 g, 0.65 mmol) in a mixture of anhydrous Et₂O (10 mL) and dry THF (5 mL) was added in a dropwise manner with stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 7 h at room temperature. Excess AlH₃ was decomposed by the addition of crushed ice (1 g) and a 10% NaOH solution (5 mL) at 0 °C. The insoluble salts were removed by filtration and washed with Et_2O (3 × 20 mL). The combined filtrate and washings were evaporated under reduced pressure, and a solution of the residual oil in Et₂O (50 mL) was washed with H_2O (2 × 10 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). The Et₂O solution of the amine was treated with an Et₂O solution of oxalic acid to afford the crude salt; recrystallization from MeOH/ anhydrous Et₂O solution of oxalic acid to afford the crude salt; recrystallization from MeOH/anhydrous Et₂O gave 0.09 g (33%) of 36 as white crystals mp: 182-185 °C. Anal. (C₁₈H₂₁-N₃O-1.75C₂H₂O₄) C, H, N.

N-(4-Phenylbutyl)-1-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2aminopropane Hydrobromide (4). Method a. Ethyl chloroformate (0.43 g, 4 mmol) was added in a dropwise manner to a stirred solution of 4-phenylbutyric acid (0.66 g, 4 mmol) and Et₃N (0.41 g, 4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) at 0 °C, and stirring was continued at 0 °C for 0.5 h. 1-(2,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2aminopropane (0.78 g, 4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added in a dropwise fashion and the mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 4 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was washed with H₂O (10 mL), 5% HCl (10 mL), and H₂O (2×10 mL). The CH₂Cl₂ portion was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated in vacuo. The white precipitate was washed with $Et_2O(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$ and recrystallized from 95% EtOH to afford 0.83 g (61%) of the intermediate amide: mp 80 °C. The amide (0.72 g, 2 mmol) in dry THF (30 mL) was added in a dropwise manner to a stirred suspension of LiAlH₄ (0.5 g) in dry THF (30 mL) in 0 °C under N₂ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for overnight, and excess LiAlH4 was decomposed at 0 °C with H2O (2 mL), 30% NaOH (0.5 mL), and H₂O (0.5 mL). The insoluble salts were removed by filtration and washed with THF (3×10) mL). The organic portions were combined, and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. A solution of the oily residue in Et₂O (15 mL) was washed with H₂O (2×10 mL) and dried (MgSO₄); solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a colorless oily residue of amine. An anhydrous Et₂O (10 mL) solution of the amine was saturated with HBr gas to yield a crude salt; recrystallization from absolute EtOH/anhydrous Et2O gave 0.65 g (75%) of the intermediate amine hydrobromide as white crystals: mp 145-146 °C. A solution of 48% HBr (0.2g) in glacial HOAc (3 mL) was added to the above amine (0.24 g, 0.6 mmol) in glacial HOAc (15 mL) in one portion at 0 °C, then bromine (0.13g, 0.8 mmol) in glacial HOAc (5 mL) was added in a dropwise manner at the same temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 1 h at room temperature, and solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a solid residue. The residue was stirred for 3 h with anhydrous Et₂O (20 mL), and the white solid product was collected by filtration, washed with anhydrous Et₂O (5 mL), dried, and recrystallized from absolute EtOH/anhydrous Et₂O to afford 0.18 g (63%) of 4: mp 114-115 °C. Anal. (C₂₁H₂₈BrNO₂·HBr) C, H, N.

Method b. Ethyl chloroformate (0.22 g, 2 mmol) was added in a dropwise manner to a stirred solution of 4-phenylbutyric acid (0.33 g, 2 mmol) and Et₃N (0.25 g, 2.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 0.5 h, and 1-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-aminopropane⁶ (0.55 g, 2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) was added in dropwise fashion. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, washed with H₂O (10 mL), 5% HCl (10 mL), and H₂O (10 mL), and dried (MgSO4). Solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a pale yellow solid which was stirred with anhydrous Et₂O (10 mL) to afford 0.45 g (54%) of the intermediate amide as a white solid: mp 130 °C. AlH₃ was prepared by the careful addition of 100% H₂SO₄ (0.18 mL) in dry THF (4 mL) to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (0.2 g, 5 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) at 0 °C under a N₂ atmosphere; a solution of the above amide (0.34 g, 1 mmol) in THF (25 mL) was added in a dropwise manner. The

reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 6 h at room temperature. Excess AlH₃ was decomposed by the addition of crushed ice (10 g) and 15% NaOH (20 mL). The mixture was filtered and the residual mass was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The combined filtrate and washing were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the oily residue in Et₂O (40 mL) was washed with H₂O (2 \times 10 mL). The Et₂O portion was dried (MgSO₄) and solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the free amine as a yellow oil. A solution of the amine in anhydrous Et₂O (20 mL) was saturated with HBr gas to afford the crude salt. The salt was stirred with anhydrous Et₂O (30 mL) for 6 h at room temperature and recrystallized from absolute EtOH/anhydrous Et₂O to afford 0.04 g (7%) of 4 as a white solid: mp 113-115 °C.

N-Benzyl-1-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-aminopropane Hydrochloride (6). Benzoyl chloride (0.14 mL, 1.2 mmol) in CHCl₃ (5 mL) was slowly added to a solution of 1-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-aminopropane hydrobromide⁶ (0.30 g, 0.85 mmol) and Et₃N (0.3 mL, 2.1 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) at 0 °C. After the addition, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirring was continued overnight. The mixture was diluted with CHCl₃ (10 mL) and washed successively with H_2O (2 × 20 mL), saturated NaHCO₃ solution (20 mL), 10% HCl (20 mL), and H₂O (20 mL) and dried (Na₂SO₄). The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude amide as a white solid. N-Benzoyl-1-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-aminopropane was obtained as white fluffy needles (0.20 g, 63%) after recrystallization from absolute EtOH: mp 189-191 °C. A solution of 1 M BH₃ in THF (8 mL, 8 mmol) was added via syringe to a suspension of the above amide (0.20 g, 0.54 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) under a N_2 atmosphere. The mixture was heated at reflux overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and 6 N HCl (20 mL) was carefully added. The THF was removed by distillation at atmospheric pressure. The concentrated solution was allowed to cool to room temperature and was made basic with 15% NaOH solution. The basic solution was extracted with Et_2O (3 × 30 mL). The combined extract was washed with H₂O (20 mL) and dried (MgSO₄). Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure gave 0.13 g (65%) of the free base as an oil. The free base was converted to the hydrochloride salt and recrystallized from absolute EtOH and anhydrous Et₂O to afford 0.07 g (34%) of product as a fluffy white solid: mp 197-199 °C. Anal. (C18H22BrNO2 HCl) C, H, N.

Radioligand Binding. Radioligand binding assays were conducted as previously reported in detail.^{13,19,20} 5-HT2A receptors in GF6 cells transfected with the human 5-HT2A gene²⁰ were labeled with [³H]ketanserin (identified as 5-HT2A(K) in Tables 2 and 3) or [¹²⁵I]DOI (identified as 5-HT2A(D) in Tables 2 and 3), and 5-HT2C receptors in J1 cells transfected with the rat 5-HT1C gene²⁰ were labeled with [³H]mesulergine. Radioligands and competing drugs were incubated with homogenates at 37 °C for 30 min, filtered through Schleicher & Schuell glass-fiber filters, and counted in Ecoscint (National Diagnostics) in a Beckman 3801 liquid-scintillation counter. Data are expressed as K_i values and represent the mean and SEM of at least two experiments each performed in triplicate.

References

 Humphrey, P. P. A.; Hartig, P.; Hoyer, D. A. proposed new nomenclature for 5-HT receptors. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 1993, 14, 233-236.

- (2) Teitler, M. Cloning and functional expression of serotonin receptor subtypes. Med. Chem. Res. 1993, 3, 273-286.
- (3) Appel, N. M.; Mitchell, W. M.; Garlick, R. K.; Glennon, R. A.; Teitler, M.; De Souza, E. B. Autoradiographic characterization of (±)-1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-[¹²⁵I]iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane([¹²⁵I]-DOI) binding to 5-HT2 and 5-HT1C receptors in rat brain. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1990, 255, 843-857.
- (4) Glennon, R. A.; Raghupathi, R.; Bartyzel, P.; Teitler, M.; Leonhardt, S. Binding of phenylalkylamine derivatives at 5-HT1C and 5-HT2 serotonin receptors: Evidence for a lack of selectivity. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 34, 734-740.
- (5) Glennon, R. A.; Titeler, M.; Seggel, M. R.; Lyon, R. A. N-Methyl derivatives of the 5-HT2 agonist 1-(4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-aminopropane. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 930-932.
- (6) Glennon, R. A.; McKinney, J. D.; Lyon, R. A.; Titeler, M. 5-HT1 and 5-HT2 binding characteristics of 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromophenyl)-2-aminopropane analogues. J. Med. Chem. 1986, 29, 194-199.
- (7) Westkaemper, R. B.; Glennon, R. A. Molecular graphics models of members of the 5-HT2 subfamily: 5-HT2A, 5-HT2B, and 5-HT2C receptors. Med. Chem. Res. 1993, 3, 317–334.
- (8) Moereels, H.; Janssen, P. A. J. Molecular modeling of G-protein coupled receptors: going step by step. Med. Chem. Res. 1993, 3, 335-343.
- (9) Gallaher, T. K.; Haworth, I. S.; Shih, J. C. Determining the ligand binding site of the 5-HT2 receptor using molecular mechanics and molecular dynamics. *Med. Chem. Res.* 1993, 3, 344–356.
- (10) Zhang, D.; Weinstein, H. Ligand selectivity and the molecular properties of the 5-HT2 receptor. Computational simulations reveal a major role for transmembrane helix 7. Med. Chem. Res. 1993, 3, 357-369.
- (11) Glennon, R. A.; Dukat, M. Serotonin receptors and their ligands: A lack of selective agents. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1991, 40, 1009–1017.
- (12) Seggel, M. R.; Yousif, M. Y.; Lyon, R. A.; Titeler, M.; Roth, B. L.; Suba, E. A.; Glennon, R. A. A structure-affinity study of the binding of 4-substituted analogs of 1-(2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-aminopropane at 5-HT2 serotonin receptors. J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 1032– 1036.
- (13) Glennon, R. A.; Teitler, M.; Sanders-Bush, E. Hallucinogens and serotonergic mechanisms. NIDA Res. Mono. 1992, 119, 131–135.
- (14) Glennon, R. A.; Titeler, M.; Lyon, R. A. A preliminary investigation of the psychoactive agent 4-bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenethylamine: A potential drug of abuse. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 1988, 30, 597-601.
- (15) Because a specific K_i value was not obtained for compound 30 at 5-HT2C receptors (i.e., K_i > 10 000), it was necessarily excluded from any attempted correlations.
- (16) Khafagy, E. Z.; Lambooy, J. P. Preparation of substituted phenylethyl alcohols and a study of their bacteriostatic action in Escherichia coli B. J. Med. Chem. 1966, 9, 936-940.
- (17) Julia, M.; Mahoury, P. Research in the indole series. XIII. 5- and 6-Methoxytryptamines. Bull. Soc. Chim. (Fr.) 1965, 5, 1411–1417.
- (18) Takagi, K.; Takayahagi, I.; Irikura, T.; Nishino, K.; Ito, M.; Ohkubo, H.; Ichinoseki, H. A potent competitive inhibitor of 5-hydroxytryptamine: 3-(2'-benzylaminoethyl)-5-methoxyindole hydrochloride. Jpn. J. Pharmacol. 1969, 19, 234-239.
- (19) Ismaiel, A. M.; De Los Angeles, J.; Teitler, M.; Ingher, S.; Glennon, R. A. Antagonism of 1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4-methylphenyl)-2-aminopropane stimulua with a newly identified 5-HT2-versus 5-HT1Cselective antagonist. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 2519-2525.
- (20) Leonhardt, S.; Gorospe, E.; Hoffman, B.; Teitler, M. Molecular pharmacological differences in the interaction of serotonin with 5-hydroxytryptamine-2 receptors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 1992, 42, 328– 335.