# Selective N-Alkylation of Amines with Alcohols by Using Non-Metal-Based Acid-Base Cooperative Catalysis 

Ya Du, ${ }^{[a, ~ c]}$ Shunsuke Oishi, ${ }^{[a]}$ and Susumu Saito ${ }^{*[a, b]}$

Higher amines are widely used in both the bulk and fine chemical industry for the synthesis of fundamental materials, such as additives, dyes and agrochemicals. ${ }^{[1]}$ Therefore, the development of a selective N -alkylation method that is cost effective, salt free and environmentally benign is of considerable interest. Indeed, reactions involving the N -alkylation of amines with alcohols ${ }^{[2]}(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{OH})$ in place of $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}$, where X denotes halide, tosylate, mesylate, or triflate, ${ }^{[1 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{e}]}$ are well documented. To promote the N -alkylation using $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{OH}$, metal-based catalyses have been developed. These reactions mainly use benzylic-type and saturated alcohols with catalytic $\mathrm{Ru},{ }^{[3]} \mathrm{Ir},{ }^{[4]} \mathrm{Cu},{ }^{[5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}]} \mathrm{Ni},{ }^{[5]}$ and $\mathrm{Ag}^{[5 g, h]}$ species. In addition, Lewis acidic metals ${ }^{[6]}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}^{[7]}$ catalysts are also competent mediators of N -alkylation with benzylic-type secondary alcohols and allylic alcohols. Herein, we report a novel and straightforward method for the N -alkylation with $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{OH}$ ( $1^{\circ}, 2^{\circ}$ and $3^{\circ}$ ) using non-metal-based ${ }^{[8]]}$ catalysis promoted by 1,3,5-triazo-2,4,6-triphosphorine-2,2,4,4,6,6-hexachloride ${ }^{[9]}$ (TAPC). This new reaction involves substitution ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) at the alcohols $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbon atom bearing the hydroxyl group (Scheme 1), ${ }^{[8 b]}$ by which selective N -mono- and dialkylation were successfully achieved.
Treatment of aniline ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}, 2$ equiv) with benzyl alcohol ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 1$ equiv) in the presence of TAPC ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ with respect to $2 \mathbf{a}$ ) at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h in 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene (1,2,4TMB) under Ar using a sealed reactor, ${ }^{[10]}$ followed by column chromatography on silica gel, gave mono-alkylated amine $\mathbf{3 a a}$ in $92 \%$ yield (Scheme 2, Table 1, entry 1). ${ }^{[11]}$ The tertiary amine resulting from N -dialkylation was obtained in about $7 \%$ yield, as determined by GC-MS and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. Formation of benzyl chloride and dibenzyl ether was found to be negligible ${ }^{[12]}$ by GC-MS analysis. In the absence of solvent, the yield of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{a a}$ decreased to $75 \%$
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Scheme 1. General scheme for TAPC-catalysed selective N-alkylation of amines $\mathbf{1}$ using alcohols $\mathbf{2}$.


Scheme 2. Selective N-mono- and dibenzylation of $\mathbf{1 a}$.

Table 1. N-Alkylation of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with $\mathbf{2 a}$ under different conditions. ${ }^{[a]}$

| Entry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Catalyst } \\ & \text { precursor ([ } \mathrm{mol} \%]) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{: 2} \mathbf{a}^{[b]}$ | Yield [\%] ${ }^{\text {c] }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | TAPC (5) | 2:1 | $92(75)^{[d]}$ |
| 2 | TAPC (4) | 1:2.5 | $<1(80)^{[\text {[ ] }}$ |
| 3 | TAPC (5) | 2:1 | $45^{[f]}$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(30)$ | 2:1 | $<1$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{HCl}^{[1]}$ (30) | 2:1 | 23 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{HCl}^{[1]}(30)+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(15)$ | 2:1 | 51 |
| 7 | 5a (5) | 2:1 | $22^{[8]}$ |
| 8 | 5b (30) | 2:1 | $46^{[8]}$ |
| 9 | $\mathbf{5 a}(5)+\mathbf{5 b}$ (30) | 2:1 | $81^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |
| 10 | $5 \mathrm{a}(5)+\mathrm{NMe}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (30) | 2:1 | 26 |

[a] Unless otherwise specified, the reaction was carried out with 1a $(4 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{2 a}(2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and catalyst precursor $(0.1 \mathrm{mmol}: 0.09 \mathrm{~m})$ in $1,2,4-$ TMB ( 0.5 mL ) at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . [b] Molar ratio of components $\mathbf{1 a}$ and 2a in the reaction mixture at $t=0$. [c] Yield of crude product 3aa determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy using 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane as an internal standard. [d] Without solvent. [e] Isolated yield of 4aa; 1a:2a:TAPC $=40: 100: 4 \quad\left([T A P C]_{0}=0.12 \mathrm{~m}\right) . \quad[\mathrm{f}] 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \quad 12 \mathrm{~h} . \quad[\mathrm{g}] \mathbf{1 a}$ ( 3.4 mmol ) was used. [h] $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}(2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was used. [i] 2 m Solution in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
(entry 1). Addition of water ( 0.3 and 2.0 equiv with respect to 2a) to the solute at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to reaction initiation did not prevent the reaction from proceeding at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $\mathbf{3}$ aa was recovered in 98 and $90 \%$, respectively). Finally, a change in the ratio of $\mathbf{1 a} / \mathbf{2 a}$ from $2: 1$ to $1: 2.5$ resulted exclusively in N -dialkylation, giving $4 \mathbf{a a}$ in $80 \%$ yield (entry 2 ). ${ }^{[13]}$

Control reactions were performed to probe the involvement of Brønsted acid catalysts that might be generated in
situ, such as $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{HCl}$, or a combination of these two. In fact, these catalysts were found to decelerate the reaction rate (entries 4-6). Convinced that simple Brønsted acid catalysis was not operative, we pursued time profiles of the reaction course using ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectroscopy in order to identify the resting state of the P-based catalyst. According to the time-dependent spectra, the singlet signal of TAPC ( $\delta$ $=20.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ in $1,2,4-\mathrm{TMB} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) immediately diminished when mixed with $1 \mathbf{1 a}$ and 2 a at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and disappeared completely at elevated temperatures $\left(160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, t\right.$ $<20 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Instead, only one singlet ( $\delta=(1.2 \pm 0.1$ ) ppm in $1,2,4-\mathrm{TMB} / \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) was detected, which retained the same $\delta$ position at all time periods of sampling (up to $t=12 \mathrm{~h}$ ).

To elucidate the resting state structure of the P species, we attempted its isolation from a solution of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and TAPC. Two chemical entities, $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{a}^{[14]}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$, were separated from the mixture through a simple filtration-wash technique, and both were obtained in quantitative yields (calculated based on a molar amount of P and Cl , respectively). When $\mathbf{5 a}$ was added to a reaction mixture containing 1a, 2a and the structurally modified TAPC (its structure was not clarified up to that time), the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR signal of $\mathbf{5 a}$ entirely overlapped at $\delta=1.23 \mathrm{ppm}$ with the signal consistently observed throughout the N -alkylation. This strongly suggests that $\mathbf{5 a}$ is the resting state of the catalyst.
Given the understanding gained in our NMR experiments, we examined $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}$, or a combined mixture of these two compounds as potential catalysts in the reaction (Table 1, entries 7-9). Of these, $\mathbf{5 a}+\mathbf{5 b}$ showed the highest catalytic performance. To get an insight into the kinetic profiles of catalysis promoted by each of these four species, $\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{5 b}, \mathbf{5 a}$ $+\mathbf{5 b}$ and TAPC, yields of $\mathbf{3 a} \mathbf{a}$ were plotted as a function of reaction time (Figure 1). The $\mathbf{5 a}+\mathbf{5 b}$ curve has a slope similar to that of the TAPC curve, but with a lower initial reaction rate. When a suspension containing $\mathbf{5 a}+\mathbf{5 b}$ was heated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, complete dissolution of the solids took about 30 min , suggesting that the induction period ( $t<1 \mathrm{~h}$ ) is partially related to the time required to make the reaction mixture homogeneous. In summary, these experiments clearly demonstrate that TAPC readily generates $\mathbf{5 a}$ in situ with


Figure 1. NMR yield(\%) of $\mathbf{3 a a}$ obtained from the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with 2a (2:1) at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ vs. reaction time $(t, \mathrm{~h})$. ( $)$ : with TAPC ( $\left.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right)$; ( $\left.\mathbf{(}\right)$ : with $\mathbf{5 a}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)+\mathbf{5 b}(30 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$; ( $\mathbf{\Delta})$ : with $\mathbf{5 b}(30 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$; ( $\mathbf{\bullet})$ : with $\mathbf{5 a}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. Net $[\mathbf{1 a}]_{0}$ was kept identical for each run.
concomitant liberation of $\mathbf{5 b}$ during N -alkylation. Since $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ did not enhance the reactivity of $\mathbf{5 a}$ (entry 10 ) and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ was totally inert (entry 4), 5a and 5b (thus $\mathrm{H}^{+}+$ $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$) acted synergistically to catalyze the reaction. ${ }^{[12,15]}$

To elucidate the mechanism with which $\mathbf{5 b}(\mathbf{H C l})$ and the resting state $P$ species 5a catalyzed the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with 2a, additional control experiments were carried out $\left(160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, $12 \mathrm{~h})$. When $\left[\mathrm{D}_{30}\right] \mathbf{5 a}$ (consisting of $\left.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{NH}\right), 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right)+$ $\mathbf{5 b}(30 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were used with $\mathbf{1 a}: \mathbf{2 a}=1.4: 1, \mathbf{3} \mathbf{a a}$ was obtained in $81 \%$ yield without any incorporation of deuterium. Similarly, 3aa was obtained from 1a:2a=1.4:1 in $98 \%$ yield when $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{c}^{[16]}$ ( $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) was used in combination with $\mathbf{5 b}$ (30 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ). In this case N -alkylation of 1,2-diaminobenzene was not detected by GC-MS. These experiments strongly suggest that 5a is stable on the reaction timescale. Phosphazene 5a is much more basic than $\mathbf{1 a}$, since upon protonation of $\mathbf{5 a}$ with $\mathbf{5 b}$ delocalization of the counterion of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is reinforced in the six-membered phosphazene ring system. The enhanced catalytic activity of $\mathbf{5 a}+\mathbf{5 b}(=\mathbf{5 a}+\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}+\mathrm{HCl})$ being less acidic than $\mathbf{5 b}$ suggests that $\mathbf{5 a}+\mathbf{5 b}$ serves as a superior acid-base cooperative catalyst in our reaction. Mechanistically, $\mathbf{1 a}$ in the $\mathbf{5 a}+\mathbf{5 b}$ complex could undergo N -alkylation with 2a (thus bimolecular reaction) giving 3aa in a concerted fashion (e.g., TS) after recombinant of hydrogen bonds ${ }^{[17]}$ in $\mathbf{5 a}+\mathbf{5 b}$ may or may not occur at the secondary coordination sphere ${ }^{[18]}$ of 5a. ${ }^{[19,20]}$ Another important role of HCl is to regenerate the resting state 5 a more effectively. When $\mathbf{5 d}{ }^{[21]}$ ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ with respect to net $\mathbf{2 a}$ ) alone was used with $\mathbf{1 a}: \mathbf{2 a}=2.9: 1, \mathbf{3} \mathbf{a a}$ was obtained in $43 \%$ yield. In contrast, the yield of $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{a a}$ was increased to $98 \%$ using $\mathbf{5 d}$ $(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)+\mathbf{5 b}(30 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ with $\mathbf{1 a}: \mathbf{2} \mathbf{a}=2.4: 1$. In this last reaction, $\mathbf{5 a}(+\mathbf{5 b})$ was again the only species observable by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR analysis.


Encouraged by these findings, which demonstrate TAPC to be a competent catalyst precursor with easy handling, we next examined the substrate scope of our N -alkylation. The scope was investigated under optimal reaction conditions for both N-mono- (1:2:TAPC=2:1:0.05) and dialkylation (1:2:TAPC $=0.4: 1: 0.04$ ) in 1,2,4-TMB. The results are summarized in Table 2.

Coupling reactions between anilines $\mathbf{1 a - l}$ and electronically diverse benzylic primary alcohols $\mathbf{2 a - m}$ gave the expected N -mono- or dialkylation products $3 \mathbf{3} \mathbf{a b - i a}$ or $\mathbf{4 a b}-\mathbf{i a}$ selectively in good to high yields (entries 1-20). Furthermore, our results demonstrated that it is feasible to discriminate one $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group from another under the established conditions. Although sulfonamides are readily alkylated with alcohols according to several established methods, ${ }^{[2 c, 3 h, i, 5 b]}$ the sulfonamide moiety of aniline $\mathbf{1 k}$ re-

Table 2. Selective N-alkylation of various amines $\mathbf{1}$ with alcohols $\mathbf{2}$. ${ }^{[a]}$

| Entry | Amine 1 | Alcohol 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{3} \text { (Yield [\%]) }{ }^{[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}]} \\ & \text { conditions }{ }^{[\mathrm{a}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{4}(\text { Yield }[\%])^{[b, d]} \\ & \text { conditions B }{ }^{[a]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1a $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H})$ | 2 b ( $\mathrm{Y}=4-\mathrm{OMe}$ ) | 3ab (55) | 4 ab (51) |
| 2 | 1a | $2 \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{Y}=3-\mathrm{MeO})$ | 3 ac (83) | 4ac (95) |
| 3 | 1a | $2 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Y}=4-\mathrm{Me})$ | 3 ad (82) | 4 ad (86) |
| 4 | 1a | $2 \mathrm{e}(\mathrm{Y}=3-\mathrm{Me})$ | 3ae (86) | 4ae (71) |
| 5 | 1a | $2 \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{Y}=2-\mathrm{Me})$ | 3af (60) | 4af (56) |
| 6 | 1a | $\mathbf{2 g}(\mathrm{Y}=4-\mathrm{F})$ | 3ag (98) | 4ag (90) |
| 7 | 1a | 2h ( $\mathrm{Y}=4-\mathrm{Cl}$ ) | 3ah (81) | 4ah (82) |
| 8 | 1a | $2 \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{Y}=4-\mathrm{Br})$ | 3ai (81) | 4ai (81) |
| 9 | 1a | 2j ( $\mathrm{Y}=4-\mathrm{I}$ ) | 3aj (94) | 4aj (57) |
| $10^{[\text {[ ] }}$ | 1a | $2 \mathrm{k}(\mathrm{Y}=2-\mathrm{I})$ | 3ak (63) | 4ak (57) |
| 11 | 1a | $21\left(\mathrm{Y}=4-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ | 3al (83) | 4al (69) |
| 12 | 1a | $2 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{Y}=4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ | 3 am (81) ${ }^{[f]}$ | $4 \mathrm{am}(34)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |
| 13 | 1b ( $\mathrm{X}=4$-OMe) | 2a ( $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}$ ) | 3ba (76) | 4 ba (96) |
| 16 | 1c ( $\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{Me}$ ) | 2a | 3ca (77) | 4ca (62) |
| 14 | 1d ( $\mathrm{X}=3-\mathrm{Me}$ ) | 2 a | 3da (98) | 4da (90) |
| 15 | 1e ( $\mathrm{X}=2-\mathrm{Me}$ ) | 2a | 3 ea (96) | 4ea (61) |
| 17 | $1 \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{F})$ | 2a | $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{f a}$ (81) ${ }^{[g]}$ | 4 fa (76) |
| 18 | 1g ( $\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{Cl}$ ) | 2a | 3ga (79) | 4ga (89) |
| 19 | 1h $\left(\mathrm{X}=3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ | 2a | 3ha (61) | 4ha (72) ${ }^{[1]}$ |
| 20 | 1i $\left(\mathrm{X}=3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ | 2a | 3ia (78) | 4ia (71) |
| 21 | 1j | 2 a | 3ja (99) | $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{( 9 9})^{\text {[j] }}$ |
| 22 | 1 k | 2a | 3ka (81) | 4ka (47) |
| 23 | 11 | 2a | 31a (29) | 41a (34) |

[a] Unless otherwise specified, 1:2:TAPC $=2: 1: 0.05, \quad[T A P C]_{0}=\sim 0.09 \mathrm{~m}$ (conditions A) or 1:2:TAPC= 0.4:1:0.04, $[T A P C]_{0}=\sim 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ (conditions B) was used in $1,2,4-\mathrm{TMB}$ at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . [b] Isolated yield. [c] Based on 2. [d] Based on 1. [e] 36 h . [f] 1:2:TAPC $=2: 1: 0.1$; [TAPC] ${ }_{0}=\sim 0.04 \mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{~h} .[\mathrm{g}] 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$. [h] Detected by GC-MS. [i] 1:2:TAPC $=0.4: 1: 0.08 ;[\text { TAPC }]_{0}=\sim 0.23 \mathrm{M}$. [j] No dialkylation.

Less reactive alcohols, such as fully saturated alcohols, were also tested (Table 3). By merely elevating the reaction temperature, secondary amines 3 an-at and tertiary amines $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{a u}-\mathbf{a w}$, derived by N -mono- and dialkylation, respectively, were obtained in good to excellent yields (entries $1-10$ ). The carbo-cation-developing pathway $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1\right)$ is unlikely to occur with saturated primary alcohols including MeOH . Even at a high temperature, secondary alcohol $\mathbf{2 q}$ and the interior $(Z)$-olefin of $2 \mathbf{r}$ did not undergo $\beta$-elimination or isomerization, respectively (entries 4 and 5). The $\alpha / \gamma$ ( $>99 \%$ ) and/or $E / Z$ ( $>99 \%$ ) selectivities of the reaction with allylic alcohol 2 s were excellent (entry 6). Tertiary alcohol $\mathbf{2 t}$ was also compatible with the present reaction (entry 7), which excludes any possibility of a borrowing hydrogen mechanism. ${ }^{[2-5]}$ A second intramolecular alkylation took place smoothly upon reaction of diols $\mathbf{2 u}$ and $\mathbf{2 v}$, giving pyrrolidine
mained untouched in the presence of the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ of the aniline, which was selectively N -mono- or dialkylated to afford $\mathbf{3 k a}$ and 4 ka, respectively (entry 22).


Table 3. Selective N -alkylation of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with various alcohols $\mathbf{2}$. ${ }^{[a]}$

| Entry | Alcohol 2 | Conditions |  | Product amine | Yield [\%] ${ }^{[b]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | T [ ${ }^{\text {C }}$ ] | $t[\mathrm{~h}]$ |  |  |
| 1 | 2 n | 180 | 24 | 3 an | 84 |
| 2 | 20 | 180 | 24 | 3 ao | $94(74)^{[\mathrm{e}]}$ |
| 3 | 2p | 180 | 24 | 3ap | 82 |
| 4 | 2 q | 200 | 36 | 3 aq | 75 |
| 5 | 2 r | 160 | 72 | 3 ar | 70 |
| 6 | 2s | 160 | 36 | 3as | 94 |
| 7 | 2 t | 180 | 72 | 3 at | 78 |
| $8^{[\mathrm{cc]}}$ | 2 u | 200 | 36 | 4 au | 85 |
| $9^{[c]}$ | 2v | 200 | 36 | 4av | 82 |
| $10^{[d]}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathbf{w})$ | 200 | 36 | 4aw | 97 |

[a] Unless otherwise specified, $\mathbf{1 a}: \mathbf{2}: T A P C=2: 1: 0.05$ was used in 1,2,4TMB ([TAPC] $]_{0}=\sim 0.09 \mathrm{~m}$ ). [b] Yield of isolated, purified product, based on 2. [c] 1a:2:TAPC $=2: 1: 0.1 ; \quad[\text { TAPC }]_{0}=\sim 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$. [d] 1a:2 w:TAPC $=$ $1: 30: 0.025 ;[\text { TAPC }]_{0}=\sim 0.017 \mathrm{~m}$. [e] Isolated yield of $\mathbf{4 a o}: \mathbf{1 a : 2 o : T A P C}=$ 0.4:1:0.04; $[\text { TAPC }]_{0}=\sim 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$.
and piperidine derivatives 4 au and $4 \mathbf{a v}$, respectively, in good yields (entries 8 and 9). N-Dimethylation with MeOH proceeded as well using a smaller amount of TAPC to give $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{a w}$ almost quantitatively (entry 10).


The N-benzylation of more basic, aliphatic amines was also examined (Table 4). In the reaction of $\mathbf{1 p}$ (entry 4), no reaction was observed when using $\mathrm{Br} \varnothing$ nsted acid $\mathrm{HCl}+\mathbf{1 p}$ ( $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ each) alone. ${ }^{[12]}$ Although elevated temperatures


Table 4. N-Mono- and dibenzylation of various aliphatic amines $\mathbf{1}$ with 2 a. ${ }^{[a]}$

| Entry | Amine 1 | Conditions |  | Product amine | Yield [\%] ${ }^{\text {[b] }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $T\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | $t[\mathrm{~h}]$ |  |  |
| 1 | 1 m | 200 | 36 | 3 ma | $74(80)^{[f]}$ |
| 2 | 1 n | 200 | 36 | 3 na | $98(65)^{[f]}$ |
| 3 | 10 | 200 | 36 | 3 oa | 90 |
| $4{ }^{[c]}$ | $1 p$ | 200 | 15 | 3 pa | 80 |
| $5^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | 19 | 200 | 36 | 3 qa | 91 |
| $6^{[\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}]}$ | 1r | 180 | 48 | 4 ra | 73 |
| $7{ }^{[d]}$ | 1s | 180 | 36 | 4sa | 81 |
| 8 | 1 t | 200 | 36 | 4 ta | 91 |
| 9 | 1 u | 200 | 36 | 4 ua | 94 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathbf{1} \mathbf{v}) / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 200 | 24 | 4 va | 16 |
| $11^{\text {[d] }}$ | 1w | 200 | 36 | 4wa | 89 |
| $12^{\text {[d] }}$ | 1x | 200 | 36 | 4xa | 60 |

[a] Unless otherwise specified, 1:2a:TAPC $=2: 1: 0.05$ was used in $1,2,4-$ TMB; $[\text { TAPC }]_{0}=\sim 0.09 \mathrm{M}$. [b] Isolated yield based on $\mathbf{2 a}$. [c] 1:2a:TAPC=2:1:0.1; $\quad[\text { TAPC }]_{0}=\sim 0.18 \mathrm{~m} . \quad[d] \mathbf{1 : 2} \mathbf{a}:$ TAPC $=1: 1: 0.05$; $[\text { TAPC }]_{0}=\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~m} .[\mathrm{e}]$ DMF ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added. [f] Yield of isolated, dialkylation product 4ma (entry1) or 4na (entry 2): 1:2a:TAPC= $0.4: 1: 0.04 ;[\text { TAPC }]_{0}=\sim 0.09 \mathrm{M}$.
were generally required, primary and secondary amines were well suited for the reaction, giving secondary and tertiary amines in high yields, respectively (entries $1-9,11$, and 12). Aqueous ammonia underwent N -tribenzylation ${ }^{[3 k, 4]}$ to give $\mathbf{4}$ va, albeit in low yield (entry 10). Because of the inherent nature of weak-acid/weak-base cooperative catalysis under fairly neutral pH conditions, elevated temperatures were required to obtain a good product yield. Nonetheless, prolonged heating at $160-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is acceptable at least for an industrial process, because the recovered extra heat energy can be reused for other purposes.
A substrate combination with the least reactive 10 and $2 \boldsymbol{o}$ was also tested (Scheme 3). The N -alkylation proceeded to give the product $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ in $<50 \%$ yield.


Scheme 3. Aliphatic amine-alcohol combination.

Having established the utility of TAPC-based catalysis for the nucleophilic substitution at the COH carbon with amines, we investigated the possibility of a stereospecific $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction using optically pure $(S) \mathbf{- 2} \mathbf{x}$ (Scheme 4 ). Rather


Scheme 4. Partial Walden inversion of chiral alcohol 2x. a) TAPC ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ): $92 \%(9 \% e e)$; b) $\mathbf{5 b} \quad(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%): 77 \%(6 \% e e) ;$ c) 5a ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ): $30 \%(51 \% \mathrm{ee})$. [a] Net ratio, in which $\mathbf{1 a}$ incorporated into 5 is included.
acidic reagents, TAPC and $\mathbf{5 b}$, furnished N -alkylation product $\mathbf{3 a x}$ in less than $10 \%$ ee. In contrast, $51 \% e e$ in favor of $(R)-\mathbf{3 a x}$ was obtained in the presence of catalytic 5a ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) under milder catalysis. The original absolute configuration maintained in recovered $(S)-\mathbf{2 x}(>98 \% e e)$. Further tuning of the reaction conditions could potentially promote a more satisfactory Walden inversion of chiral alcohols, for which water is the main byproduct. Improvement of catalysts for this salt-free (phosphorus(III)- and azodicar-boxylate-free) N -alkylation alternative to the Mitsunobu reaction ${ }^{[22]}$ is now underway. Furthermore, structural diversity of poly(phosphazene)s $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{N}\right)_{n}{ }^{[9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}]}$ and metal complexes of phosphazenes ${ }^{[9 \mathrm{dd}]}$ are available, so that the present basic research has potential to expand to recyclable solid and metal-based catalysts.

## Experimental Section

TAPC ( $34.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), aniline ( $\mathbf{1 a}$ ) ( $373 \mathrm{mg}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl alcohol (2a) $(216 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added sequentially to a dry and sealed flask, stoppered by a Young's stopcock, under argon atmosphere (Caution! rigorous exclusion of air from the reaction mixture is strongly recommended for all the $N$-alkylation reactions using TAPC.). The reaction mixture was stirred at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h and was cooled to room temperature and purified using a middle-pressure preparative LC to give $N$-benzylaniline ( $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{a a}$ ) as a colorless oil $(337 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ).
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